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## COMP3630/6363: Theory of Computation

Textbook. Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages and Computation by John E. Hopcroft, Rajeev Motwani, and Jeffrey D. Ullman [HMU].
Prerequisites. Chapter 1 of HMU (sets, functions, relations, induction)
(if you prefer lectures over reading, I uploaded one on YouTube)
Assessment.

- 3 assignments
- 1 online quiz ( 1 hour) in week 4
- 1 final exam

Labs. Participation is voluntary, but highly recommended.

- Thursday, 11 am to 1 pm and Friday, 12 pm to 2 pm
- each tutorial covers the content of the same week
- tutor of weeks 1 to 6: Adam Rowland
- tutor of weeks 7 to 12: Timothy Horscroft

Content. Languages / Automata / Computability / Complexity
This course is basically an advanced Math course.
Convenor. Pascal (Bercher), pascal.bercher@anu.edu.au
Lecturer. same!
Slides. Dirk Pattinson

## Class Representatives

## CECC Class Representatives

Class Student Representation is an important component of the teaching and learning quality assurance and quality improvement processes within the ANU College of Engineering and Computer Science (CECC).
The role of Student Representatives is to provide ongoing constructive feedback on behalf of the student cohort to Course Conveners and to Associate Directors (Education) for continuous improvements to the course.

## Roles and responsibilities:

- Act as the official liaison between your peers and convener.
- Be available and proactive in gathering feedback from your classmates.
- Attend regular meetings, and provide reports on course feedback to your course convener
- Close the feedback loop by reporting back to the class the outcomes of your meetings.


## Content of this Chapter

> Deterministic Finite Automata
> Nondeterministic Finite Automata
> NFA with $\epsilon$-transitions
> An Equivalence among the above three.
(This was all covered in COMP1600)

Additional Reading: Chapter 2 of HMU.

## Preliminary Concepts

>Alphabet $\Sigma$ : A finite set of symbols, e.g.,
$>\Sigma=\{0,1\}$ (binary alphabet)
$>\Sigma=\{a, b, \ldots, z\}$ (Roman alphabet)
> String (or word) is a finite sequence of symbols.
Strings are usually represented without commas, e.g., 0011 instead of ( $0,0,1,1$ )
$>$ Concatenation $x \cdot y$ of strings $x$ and $y$ is the string $x y$.
$>\epsilon$ is the identity element for concatenation, i.e., $\epsilon \cdot x=x \cdot \epsilon=x$.
> Concatenation of sets of strings: $A \cdot B=\{a \cdot b: a \in A, b \in B\}$
>Concatenation of the same set: $A^{2}=A A ; A^{3}=(A A) A$, etc
(We often elide the concatenation operator and write $A B$ for $A \cdot B$ )
>Kleene-* or closure operator: $A^{*}=\{\epsilon\} \cup A \cup A^{2} \cup A^{3} \cdots=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} A^{n}$
(Viewing $\Sigma$ as a set of length- 1 strings, $\Sigma^{*}$ is the set of all strings over $\Sigma$.)
$>A$ (formal) language is a subset of $\Sigma^{*}$.

## Deterministic Finite Automaton (DFA)

Informally:

> The device consisting of: (a) input tape; (b) reading head; and (c) finite control (Finite-state machine)
> The input is read from left to right
> Each read operation changes the internal state of the finite-state machine (FSM)
> Input is accepted/rejected based on the final state after reading all symbols

## Deterministic Finite Automaton (DFA)

## Definition: DFA

> A DFA $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$
$>Q$ : A finite set (of internal states)
$>\Sigma$ : The alphabet corresponding to the input
$>\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$, (Transition Function)
(If present state is $q \in Q$, and $a \in \Sigma$ is read, the DFA moves to $\delta(q, a)$.)
$>q_{0}$ : The (unique) starting state of the DFA (prior to any reading). ( $q_{0} \in Q$ )
$>F \subseteq Q$ is the set of final (or accepting) states

Transition Table:

|  | 0 | 1 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{0}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{0}$ |
| $* q_{1}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{1}$ |
| $q_{2}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{1}$ |

$$
F=\left\{q_{1}\right\} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(q_{0}, 0\right)=q_{2} \\
& \\
& \delta\left(q_{0}, 1\right)=q_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Transition Diagram:


## Language accepted by a DFA

$>$ The language $L(A)$ accepted by a DFA $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ is:
> The set of all input strings that move the state of the DFA from $q_{0}$ to a state in $F$
$>$ This is formalized via the extended transition function $\hat{\delta}: Q \times \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow Q:$
> Basis:

$$
\hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon)=q \quad \text { (no state change) }
$$

> Induction:

$$
\hat{\delta}(q, w s)=\delta(\hat{\delta}(q, w), s) \quad(\text { process word } w, \text { then symbol } s)
$$

$>L(A):=$ all strings that take $q_{0}$ to some final state $=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*}: \hat{\delta}\left(q_{0}, w\right) \in F\right\}$.
In other words:
$>\epsilon \in L(A) \Leftrightarrow q_{0} \in F$
$>$ For $k>0$,

$$
w=s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{k} \in L(A) \Leftrightarrow q_{0} \xrightarrow{s_{1}} P_{1} \xrightarrow{s_{2}} P_{2} \xrightarrow{s_{3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{s_{k}} P_{k} \in F
$$

## An Example

A:

> Is 00 accepted by $A$ ?
$>q_{0} \xrightarrow{0} q_{2} \xrightarrow{0} q_{2} \notin F$
> Thus, $00 \notin L(A)$
> Is 001 accepted by $A$ ?
$>q_{0} \xrightarrow{0} q_{2} \xrightarrow{0} q_{2} \xrightarrow{1} q_{1} \in F$
> Thus, $001 \in L(A)$
> The only way one can reach $q_{1}$ from $q_{0}$ is if the string contains 01 .
$>L(A)$ is the set of strings containing 01.
>Remark 1: In general, each string corresponds to a unique path of states.
> Remark 2: Multiple strings can have the same path of states. For example, 0010 and 0011 have the same sequence of states.

## Limitations of DFAs

>Can all languages be accepted by DFAs?
>DFAs have a finite number of states (and hence finite memory).
> Given a DFA, there is always a long pattern it cannot 'remember' or 'track' > e.g., $L=\left\{0^{n} 1^{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ cannot be accepted by any DFA.
>Can generalize DFAs in one of many ways:
>Allow transitions to multiple states for each symbol read.
>Allow transitions without reading any symbol
>Allow the device to have an additional tape to store symbols
> Allow the device to edit the input tape
>Allow bidirectional head movement

## Non-deterministic Finite Automaton (NFA)

>Allow transitions to multiple states at each symbol reading.
> Multiple transitions allows the device to:
> clone itself, traverse through and consider all possible parallel outcomes.
> hypothesize/guess multiple eventualities concerning its input.
> Non-determinism seems bizarre, but aids in the simplification of describing an automaton.

## Definition: NFA

$>$ NFA $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ is defined similar to a DFA with the exception of the transition function, which takes the following form.
$>\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^{Q}$ (Transition Function)
> Remark 1: $\delta(\boldsymbol{q}, s)$ can be a set with two or more states, or even be empty!
> Remark 2: If $\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a singleton for all argument pairs, then NFA is a DFA. (So every DFA is trivally an NFA).

## Language Accepted by an NFA

> The language accepted by an NFA is formally defined via the extended transition function $\hat{\delta}: Q \times \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow 2^{Q}$ :
> Basis:

$$
\hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon)=\{q\} \quad \text { (no state change) }
$$

> Induction:

$$
\hat{\delta}(q, w s)=\bigcup_{p \in \hat{\delta}(q, w)} \delta(p, s), s \in \Sigma, w \in \Sigma^{*}
$$


$>L(A):=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*}: \hat{\delta}\left(q_{0}, w\right) \cap F \neq \emptyset\right\}$.
In other words:
$>\epsilon \in L(A) \Leftrightarrow q_{0} \in F$
$>$ For $k>0$,

$$
w=s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{k} \in L(A) \Leftrightarrow \exists \text { a path } q_{0} \xrightarrow{s_{1}} P_{1} \xrightarrow{s_{2}} P_{2} \xrightarrow{s_{3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{s_{k}} P_{k} \in F
$$

## An Example

$>L(A)=\{w$ : penultimate* symbol in $w$ is a 1$\} .\left({ }^{*}=\right.$ second to last! $)$

$\longrightarrow$|  | 0 | 1 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{0}$ | $q_{0}$ | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}$ |
| $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{2}$ |
| $* q_{2}$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |


$>\hat{\delta}\left(q_{0}, 00\right)=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$

$$
q_{0} \xrightarrow{0} q_{0} \xrightarrow{0} q_{0}
$$

$>\hat{\delta}\left(q_{0}, 01\right)=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}$
$q_{0} \xrightarrow{0} q_{0} \xrightarrow{1} q_{1}$
$q_{0} \xrightarrow{0} q_{0} \xrightarrow{1} q_{0}$
$>\hat{\delta}\left(q_{0}, 10\right)=\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}\right\}$
$q_{0} \xrightarrow{1} q_{0} \xrightarrow{0} q_{0}$
$q_{0} \xrightarrow{1} q_{1} \xrightarrow{0} q_{2}$
$>\hat{\delta}\left(q_{0}, 100\right)=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
$q_{0} \xrightarrow{1} q_{1} \xrightarrow{0} q_{0} \xrightarrow{0} q_{0}$
> An input can move the state from $q_{0}$ to $q_{2}$ only if it ends in 10 or 11 .
> Each time the NFA reads a 1 (in state $q_{0}$ ) it considers two parallel possibilities:
> the 1 is the penultimate symbol. (These paths die if the 1 is not actually the penultimate symbol)
> the 1 is not the penultimate symbol.

## Is Non-determinism Better?

> Non-determinism was introduced to increase the computational power.
> So is there a language $L$ that is accepted by an NDA, but not by any DFA?

## Theorem 2.4.1

Every Language $L$ that is accepted by an NFA is also accepted by some DFA.

Is Non-determinism Better?

## Proof of Theorem 2.4.1

$>$ Let NFA $N=\left(Q_{N}, \Sigma, \delta_{N}, q_{0}, F_{N}\right)$ generate the given language $L$
> Idea: Devise a DFA $D$ such that at any time instant the state of the DFA is the set of all states that NFA $N$ can be in.
> Define DFA $D=\left(Q_{D}, \Sigma, \delta_{D}, q_{D, 0}, F_{D}\right)$ from $N$ using the following subset construction:

$$
Q_{D}=2^{Q_{N}} \quad q_{D, 0}=\left\{q_{0}\right\} \quad F_{D}=\left\{S \subseteq Q_{N}: S \cap F_{N} \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$


(transitions will be shown later)
> Hence, $\epsilon \in L(N) \Leftrightarrow q_{0} \in F_{N} \Leftrightarrow\left\{q_{0}\right\} \in F_{D} \Leftrightarrow \epsilon \in L(D)$

## Is Non-determinism Better?

## Proof of Theorem 2.4.1

$>$ To define $\delta_{D}(P, s)$ for each $P \subseteq Q$ and $s \in \Sigma$ :
> Assume NFA $N$ is simultaneously in all states of $P$
$>$ Let $P^{\prime}$ be the states to which $N$ can transition from states in $P$ upon reading $s$
$>\operatorname{Set} \delta_{D}(P, s):=P^{\prime}=\bigcup_{p \in P} \delta_{N}(p, s)$.

>By Induction: $\hat{\delta}_{N}\left(q_{0}, w\right)=\hat{\delta}_{D}\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, w\right)$ for all $w \in \Sigma^{*}$
> Basis: Let $s \in \Sigma$

$$
\hat{\delta}_{N}\left(q_{0}, \epsilon\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{q_{0}\right\} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \hat{\delta}_{D}\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, \epsilon\right)
$$

> Induction: assume $\hat{\delta}_{N}\left(q_{0}, w\right)=\hat{\delta}_{D}\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, w\right)$ for $w \in \Sigma^{*}$

$$
\hat{\delta}_{N}\left(q_{0}, w s\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bigcup_{p \in \hat{\delta}_{N}\left(q_{0}, w\right)} \delta_{N}(p, s) \stackrel{\text { ind }}{=} \bigcup_{p \in \hat{\delta}_{D}\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, w\right)} \delta_{N}(p, s) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \delta_{D}\left(\hat{\delta}_{D}\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, w\right), s\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \hat{\delta}_{D}\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, w s\right)
$$

$>$ Thus, $\hat{\delta}_{N}\left(q_{0}, \cdot\right)=\hat{\delta}_{D}\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, \cdot\right)$, and hence the languages have to be identical.

## Comments about the Subset Construction Method

> Generally, the DFA constructed using subset construction has $2^{n}$ states ( $n=$ number of states in the NFA).
> Not all states are reachable! (see example below)
> The state corresponding to the empty set is never a final state.


## $\epsilon$-Transitions

> State transitions occur without reading any symbols.

## Definition: $\epsilon$-transitions

An $\epsilon$-Nondeterministic Finite Automaton is a 5-tuple $\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ defined similar to a DFA with the exception of the transition function, which is defined to be:

$$
\delta: Q \times(\Sigma \cup\{\epsilon\}) \rightarrow 2^{Q}
$$

> An Example:


|  | $\epsilon$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{0}$ | $\left\{q_{1}, q_{4}\right\}$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $q_{1}$ | $\left\{q_{2}\right\}$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $q_{2}$ | $\left\{q_{3}\right\}$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $* q_{3}$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $q_{4}$ | $\emptyset$ | $\left\{q_{5}\right\}$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $q_{5}$ | $\left\{q_{6}\right\}$ | $\emptyset$ | $\left\{q_{3}\right\}$ |
| $q_{6}$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |

> Without reading any input symbols, the state of the $\epsilon$-NFA can transition:

From $q_{0}$ to $q_{1}, q_{4}, q_{2}$, or $q_{3}$.
From $q_{2}$ to $q_{3}$.

From $q_{1}$ to $q_{2}$, or $q_{3}$.
From $q_{5}$ to $q_{6}$.

## Language Accepted by an $\epsilon$-NFA

> $\epsilon$-closure of a state
$>\operatorname{ECLOSE}(q)=$ all states that are reachable from $q$ by $\epsilon$-transitions alone.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(q_{0}\right)=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(q_{1}\right)=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(q_{2}\right)=\left\{q_{2}, q_{3}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(q_{3}\right)=\left\{q_{3}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(q_{4}\right)=\left\{q_{4}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(q_{5}\right)=\left\{q_{5}, q_{6}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(q_{6}\right)=\left\{q_{6}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Language Accepted by an $\epsilon$-NFA

Given $\epsilon$-NFA $N=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ define extended transition function $\hat{\delta}: Q \times \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow 2^{Q}$ by induction:
> Basis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon)=\operatorname{ECLose}(q) \\
& q \xrightarrow{\epsilon} q_{1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \cdots \xrightarrow{\epsilon} q^{\prime} \quad \epsilon=\epsilon^{2}=\epsilon^{3}=\cdots \\
& \hat{\delta}(q, s)=\bigcup_{p \in \operatorname{ELOSE}(q)}\left(\bigcup_{p^{\prime} \in \delta(p, s)} \operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad[s=\underbrace{\epsilon \cdots \epsilon}_{\text {finitely many }} s \underbrace{\epsilon \cdots \epsilon}_{\text {finitely many }}] \\
& q \xrightarrow{\epsilon} q_{1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \cdots \xrightarrow{\epsilon} q^{\prime} \xrightarrow{s} p^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} p_{1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \cdots \rightarrow{ }^{\epsilon} p
\end{aligned}
$$

> Induction:

$$
\hat{\delta}(q, w s)=\bigcup_{p \in \hat{\delta}(q, w)}\left(\bigcup_{p^{\prime} \in \delta(p, s)} \operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$


$>w \in L(N)$ if and only if $\hat{\delta}\left(q_{0}, w\right) \cap F \neq \emptyset$
$>\mathrm{w} \in L(N)$ if and only if $\hat{\delta}\left(q_{0}, \mathrm{w}\right) \cap F \neq \emptyset$
$>$ In other words:

$$
>\epsilon \in L(N) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{ECLOSE}\left(q_{0}\right) \cap F \neq \emptyset
$$


> For $k>0, w=s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{k} \in L(N) \Leftrightarrow \exists$ a path such as the following:


## Do $\epsilon$-NFAs Accept More Languages?

## Theorem 2.5.1

Every Language $L$ that is accepted by an $\epsilon$-NFA is also accepted by some DFA.

## Proof of Theorem 2.5.1 (Abstract idea)



## Do $\epsilon$-NFAs Accept More Languages?

## Proof of Theorem 2.5.1 (Cont'd)

> Given $L$ that is accepted by some $\epsilon$-NFA, we must find an NFA that accepts $L$. ([NFA to DFA conversion can then be done as in Theorem 2.4.1].
$>$ Let $\epsilon$-NFA $N=\left(Q_{N}, \Sigma, \delta_{N}, q_{0}, F_{N}\right)$ accept $L$.
$>$ Let us devise NFA $N^{\prime}=\left(Q_{N^{\prime}}, \Sigma, \delta_{N^{\prime}}, q_{0}^{\prime}, F_{N^{\prime}}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{N^{\prime}}=Q_{N} \quad q_{0}^{\prime}=q_{0} \quad F_{N}^{\prime}=\left\{q \in Q_{N}: \operatorname{ECLOSE}(q) \cap F_{N} \neq \emptyset\right\} \\
\delta_{N^{\prime}}: Q_{N^{\prime}} \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^{Q_{N^{\prime}}} \text { defined by: } \quad \delta_{N^{\prime}}(q, s)=\bigcup_{p \in \operatorname{ECLOSE}(q)} \delta(p, s)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
N: \quad q \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \quad \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \cdots \xrightarrow{\epsilon} p \xrightarrow{s} p^{\prime}
$$

$N: q$ can transition to $p^{\prime}$ after a few $\epsilon$-transitions, and a single read of $s \in \Sigma$.
I

$$
N^{\prime}: \quad q \xrightarrow{s} p^{\prime}
$$

$N^{\prime}: q$ can transition to $p^{\prime}$ after reading $s$.

## To Summarize...

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Languages accepted } \\
\text { by DFAs }
\end{gathered}=\begin{gathered}
\text { Languages accepted } \\
\text { by NFAs }
\end{gathered}=\begin{gathered}
\text { Languages accepted } \\
\text { by } \epsilon \text {-NFAs }
\end{gathered}
$$

> Allowing non-determinism and/or $\epsilon$-transitions does not improve the language acceptance power of (finite) automata.

