

School of Computing, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia {songtuan.lin, pascal.bercher}@anu.edu.au

### Introduction

- Motivation : The task of modeling planning domains is a major obstacle for deploying planning techniques more broadly.
  - $\mathbb{S}$  Tools for modeling assistance are demanded!
  - ♥ Planning. Domains, itSIMPLE, etc.
- **Objective** : We want to study the complexity of deciding whether a set of *white* list plans and of *black* list plans can be respectively turned into solutions and non-solutions by changing an HTN planning domain.
  - ∞ We will identify all hardness sources that make this problem  $\mathbb{NP}$ -hard.

# **HTN Planning**

HTN Planning is to keep decomposing so-called *compound* tasks until a primitive action sequence called a plan is obtained.



- S A compound task is decomposed (refined) into a tasknetwork by a method.
- order set of compound and primitive tasks.
- A plan is a solution if it is an executable linearization of a primitive task network obtained by decomposing the initial task network.

## Preview of the Results

We are concerned with correcting (changing) the domain of an HTN planning problem:

- failed (white list) plan
- <sup>∞</sup> Q1: How hard is it to turn the failed white list plan into a solution?
  - $\blacksquare$  It is **NP**-complete, and there are three sources each of which results in NP-hardness.
- $\mathbf{Q2}$ : What if we consider both white list and black list plans?
  - $\square$  It is **NP**-hard, but it is in  $\Sigma_2^p$ .



It is **NP**-complete to find a decomposition hierarchy that results in a given plan.



# A set $\Pi$ of white list plans. main of $\mathcal{P}$ , otherwise false.

# Hardness Source #2



# It is NP-complete to decide whether a plan is a valid linearization of a primitive task network.



**Inputs**: An HTN planning problem  $\mathcal{P}$ . A set  $\Pi$  of white list plans. A set  $\Theta$  of decomposition hierarchies.

**Output**: True if we can change the domain of  $\mathcal{P}$  to turn each plan in  $\Pi$  into a solution, witnessed by a decomposition hierarchy in  $\Theta$ , otherwise false.

- Why this result is of great importance in practice?
  - ∞ It serves as a fundamental hardness source for many problems involving reasoning over partial order.
    - ☞ E.g., POCL planning, the PLANVERIFICATION problem, and the PLANCOMPATIBILITY problem.

# Hardness Source #3

It is **NP**-complete to find the method to which an action should be added.

# Adding to which method?

**Inputs**: A set  $\Pi$  of plans.

An HTN planning problem  $\mathcal{P}$ . A set  $\Omega$  of decomposition hierarchies. A set  $\Phi$  of mappings  $\varrho$  from the result of a  $g \in \Omega$  to the actions in a  $\pi \in \Pi$ .

**Output**: True if we can change the domain of  $\mathcal{P}$  to turn each plan  $\pi \in \Pi$  into a solution, witnessed by a decomposition hierarchy  $q \in \Omega$  and a mapping  $\rho \in \Phi$ , otherwise false.







- $\bigcirc$  Coping with the hardness source #2:

# Given White and Black List Plans

hard but in the class  $\Sigma_2^p$ .

It generalizes the case where only white list plans are given.

# Exploiting the Hardness Sources

We can adapt existing approaches for the hardness sources we identified to develop a method for turning white plans into solutions:

- $\odot$  Coping with the hardness source #1:
  - Adapting the existing SAT encoding for searching for a decomposition hierarchy.
- $\bigcirc$  Coping with the hardness source #3:
  - Extending the above SAT encoding to incorporate model changes, e.g., we can use a variable  $x_m^a$  to indicate whether an action a is added to the method m.
  - Adapting the SAT encoding for the (sub)graph isomorphism problem.
- When given both white list and black list plans, the problem is NP-
  - $\blacksquare$  NP-hardness follows.
  - $\mathbb{S}$  We can assume an oracle machine that verifies whether a plan is a solution to an HTN planning problem and develop a nondeterministic poly-time algorithm based on it.
    - $\square$   $\Sigma_2^p$ -membership follows.