#### Intractability of Optimal Multi-Agent Pathfinding on Directed Graphs

Xing Tan<sup>1</sup> and Pascal Bercher<sup>2</sup>

#### <sup>1</sup>Dept. of CS, Lakehead University, Canada <sup>2</sup>School of Computing, The Australian National University

Oct. 4th, 2023

The 26th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence ECAI 2023, Kraków, Poland

## A Directed Multi-Agent Path-Finding (diMAPF) Example

Initially at I ≡ S<sub>0</sub>, the green agent A<sub>g</sub> is at vertex a, and the blue agent A<sub>b</sub> is at b. The goal for A<sub>g</sub> is c, for A<sub>b</sub> is d. In between I and G, A<sub>g</sub> waits at vertex a for A<sub>b</sub> to pass vertex e first.



# diMAPF: Outline

- Definitions
- Decisional diMAPF
- Optimal diMAPF
- Summary

#### Definitions

- Let A be a finite set of agents, and G = ⟨V, E⟩ a directed graph, where V is a finite set of vertices and E ⊆ V × V is a finite set of directed edges.
- An agent in A can move from  $v_i \in V$  to  $v_j \in V$  if  $(v_i, v_j) \in E$  is an edge in the directed graph G.
- A state S defines a distribution of all agents from A, in vertices from V.
- Time is measured in steps. A step  $\sigma$  defines a step-wise movement of all agents, which changes a state S into its successor  $S^{succ}$ .
- It is required that the movement of all agents in σ, between S and S<sup>succ</sup>, should be applicable ones, and the applicability of agent movements is defined by the principles of precondition and frame axioms (in classical Al planning).

# Definitions (Cont'd)

- $diMAPF^{\mathcal{R}}$  A directed MAPF problem on a set of restrictions  $\mathcal{R}$ , e.g.,:
  - d $\leq$ 3 Let "dgr(i, j)" denote a vertex in a digraph having in-degree i and out-degree j. For any vertex v in the graph, if dgr(i, j) holds for v, then  $i + j \leq 3$  and  $i, j \leq 2$  hold as well.
  - **dag** *G* is acyclic, i.e., a DAG.
    - **pl** *G* is planar. That is, it can be drawn on the plane in a way such that none of its edges intersect with each other.
    - **sc** *G* is strongly connected, i.e., every pair of vertices *u* and *v* should have a path in each direction between them.
    - **uc** *G* is unilaterally connected, i.e., every pair of vertices *u* and *v* in *G* should have a path in at least one direction between them.
    - wc G is weakly connected, i.e., if there is a path between every pair of vertices u and v in the underlying undirected graph.

# Intractability of Decisional diMAPF Problems

**Theorem 1.** The problem diMAPF is NP-hard, and in PSPACE; NP-complete, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is a directed acyclic graph (**dag**); NP-complete, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is a strongly connected digraph (**sc**). (Thm 1, Props 2/3, and Thm 4 in (Nebel 2020<sup>1</sup>), Thms 18/19 in (Nebel 2023<sup>2</sup>)) **Theorem 2.** A Rectilinear-Planar Monotone Sided 3SAT (*RPMS-3SAT*) instance is a Boolean formula in the 3SAT format, rectilinearly-planar, and monotone. In addition, the clauses are sided: All positive/negative clauses are on the side above/below the variable line, respectively. RPMS-3SAT is NP-complete. (Thm 1 in Mark de Berg and et. al. 2010<sup>3</sup>)

**Theorem 3.**  $diMAPF^{dag,pl}$  is NP-complete. **Corollary 4.**  $diMAPF^{dag,pl,d\leq 3}$  is NP-complete. **Theorem 5.**  $diMAPF^{dag,uc}$  is NP-complete.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Bernhard Nebel, "On the computational complexity of multi-agent pathfinding on directed graphs", in Proc. of the 30th ICAPS, pp. 212–216, (2020).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Bernhard Nebel, "The small solution hypothesis for MAPF on strongly connected directed graphs is true", in Proc. of the 33rd ICAPS, (2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Mark de Berg and Amirali Khosravi, "Optimal binary space partitions in the plane", in Computing and Combinatorics, pp. 216–225, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010.

# Optimal diMAPF (OdP) Examples

• Initially,  $A_g$  is at a, and  $A_b$  is at b. The goal for  $A_g$  is c, for  $A_b$  is d.



• Path lengths for both agents are 2:  $|p_g| = 2$  and  $|p_b| = 2$ , and  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_g, p_b\}$ , containing all paths for all agents.

- The maximal distance of the example  $\operatorname*{argmax}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}(|p|)$ , is 2.
- Total distance is 2 + 2 = 4.
- Total number of steps from  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $\mathcal{G}$  (i.e., makespan) is  $|\Sigma| = 3$ .
- The minimum maximal distance of the example is actually 2.
- The minimum **tot**al distance is 2 + 2 = 4.
- The minimum makespan is actually 3.

## **OdP** Definitions

**Definition 6 (diMAPF**<sup> $\mathcal{R}$ </sup><sub>(O,B)</sub>).*diMAPF* $<sup><math>\mathcal{R}$ </sup><sub>(O,B)</sub> is a*diMAPF*problem $subject to restrictions <math>\mathcal{R}$  and an optimization criterion of type O, which is bounded by  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . To decide *diMAPF*<sup> $\mathcal{R}$ </sup><sub> $(O,B)</sub> with <math>O \in \{ms, max, tot\}$  means to answer: Is there a  $\vec{S}$ , such that</sub></sub></sub>

• If 
$$O = ms$$
, then:  $|\Sigma| \le B$ ?

• If 
$$O = \max$$
, then:  $\underset{p \in \mathcal{P}}{\operatorname{argmax}} (|p| \le B)$ ?

• If 
$$O = tot$$
, then:  $\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} |p|\right) \leq B$ ?

# Complexity of OdP With Makespan Restrictions

**Definition 7.** Given a  $diMAPF_{\langle ms, lb_{ms} \rangle}^{\mathcal{R}}$  problem,  $lb_{ms}$  is the lower bound makespan, if there does not exist a  $\vec{S}$ , which is a solution to  $diMAPF^{\mathcal{R}}$ , and  $|\Sigma| < lb_{ms}$ .

**Definition 8 (** $LB_{ms}$  of diMAPF<sup> $\mathcal{R}$ </sup>**).** Given a *diMAPF<sup>\mathcal{R}* problem, and a set  $\mathcal{P}_{min}$  containing the shortest paths from their initial vertices to their goal vertices, for all the agents in the problem. Suppose  $\hat{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}_{min}$  is a path whose length is the maximal one among all paths,  $LB_{ms} = |\hat{\rho}|$ .</sup>

**Proposition 1.** Given a  $diMAPF^{\mathcal{R}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{min}$ , thus  $LB_{ms}$ , can be calculated in poly-time (Dijkstra's Algorithm to find shortest paths).

**Theorem 9.**  $diMAPF_{\langle ms,LB_{ms} \rangle}^{dag,pl}$  is NP-complete.

## OdP with Restrictions on Path Length

**Definition 10.** We first identify respectively in the following two lower bounds for maximal individual travel distance, and for total distance.

- (lower bound of maximal distance:  $LB_{max}$ ) We can again find out  $\mathcal{P}_{min}$ . Among them, we pick up  $\hat{p}$  the longest one, whose length  $|\hat{p}| = LB_{max}$ , which serves as the lower bound for *B* (justification is similar to the one for  $lb_{ms}/LB_{ms}$ ).
- (lower bound of total distance: LB<sub>tot</sub>) The actual  $LB_{tot}$  should be instantiated into  $LB_{tot} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{min}} |p|$ . Any path  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{min}$  is the shortest one for its agent, the sum of all path lengths in any solution to the given problem thus can not be shorter than  $LB_{tot}$ .

**Theorem 11.**  $diMAPF^{dag,pl}_{\langle max,LB_{max}\rangle}$  is NP-complete.

**Theorem 12.**  $diMAPF^{dag,pl}_{\langle tot, LB_{tot} \rangle}$  is NP-complete.

**Theorem 13.** All three of the following problems are NP-complete:  $diMAPF^{dag,pl,d\leq 3}_{\langle ms,LB_{ms} \rangle}$ ,  $diMAPF^{dag,pl,d\leq 3}_{\langle max,LB_{max} \rangle}$  and  $diMAPF^{dag,pl,d\leq 3}_{\langle tot,LB_{tot} \rangle}$ .

## Conclusions

This research investigates the complexity of decisional/optimal *diMAPF* problems.

- the *diMAPF* problems are subject to the optimization criterion of *ms*, *max*, and *tot*; Meanwhile,
- a variety of different special cases of the problem are considered. For example, the digraphs are restricted to be planar, or/and are with bounded vertex-degrees.
- Surprisingly, even if it is applied with the smallest-possible value, optimal *diMAPF* remains to be NP-hard, for the criteria of *ms*, *max*, and *tot*, respectively.
- These complexity results have important real-world implications. For instance, we now know that when on a two-dimensional space only (pl), with one-way roads only (dag), and at most three-branch junctions only (d≤3), MAPF is already computationally challenging.

We would like to thank the referees for dedicating their time to read our paper and for providing us with valuable feedback. Their constructive input has contributed to the improvement of this paper.

# THANK YOU!